

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/966,311	09/28/2001	David E. Berg	1623-U-05	5039		
7	7590 08/25/2003			•		
Charles E. Ca	Charles E. Cates Cates & Holloway P.O. Box 1532			EXAMINER		
				SHAHNAN SHAH, KHATOL S		
Scottsdale, AZ	85252-1532		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			1645			
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/25/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	·			
Office Action Summary		09/966,311	BERG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	T			
,	-	Khatol S Shahnar					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		1	ddress			
Period fo			,				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the maind patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, howevely within the statutory minited will expire Soute, cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered tim IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	7 April 2003					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-fir	al.				
3) Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from considera	tion.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	nt.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	, , , ,	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	, ,	on.				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.					
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been recei	ved in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	l Stage			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		al application).			
a)	The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional applicatio	n has been received.	spp			
Attachment		,,					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Notice of Informal Patent Application (P [*] Other:				
U.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6				

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Applicants Information Disclosure Statement and supplemental Information Disclosure Statements papers # 2, 3, 4 and 5 received 2/08/2002, 2/25/2002, 7/16/2002 and 4/07/2003 are acknowledged. The submissions of 2/08/2002, 2/25/2002, 7/16/2002 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner (see attached papers # 2 and 4). However, The information disclosure statement filed 4/07/2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed in paper # 5 have been submitted. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Applicants need to provide copies of the cited missing references.

Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claim1 is, drawn to a method for determining abnormal procoagulant factors, classified in class 435, subclass 2.
 - II. Claim 2 is, drawn to a method of treating, classified in class 436, subclass 811.
 - III. Claims 3-44 are, drawn to a method for diagnosing the presence of procoagulant factors, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1645

Groups I, II and III are drawn to distinct methods. The claim of group I is drawn to a method of determining if one or more of the procoagulant factors is abnormal, that of group II is drawn to a method of treating a patient and those of group III are drawn to a method for diagnosing the presence of procoagulant factor by determining the level of activity of or mutations in the genes. The inventions are drawn to different methods, which differ in the method objectives, method steps, reagents and material used.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other for the reasons stated. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and requires independent searches.
- 5. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Election

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If applicants elect group III, then there are additional election of species.

- a) Please elect one of the protein species of claims 4 or 21.
- b) Please elect one of the condition species of claims 6-20 or 23-37.

Art Unit: 1645

c) Please elect one of the anticoagulant species of claims 38-43.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2 and 3 are generic.

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khatol Shahnan-Shah whose telephone number is (703) 308-8896. The examiner can normally be reached from 7:30 AM - 4 PM on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 1645

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le, can be reached on (703) 305-3399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned to is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Khatol Shahnan-Shah, BS, Pharm, MS

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1641

July 25, 2003

LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

57/29/03